(4) (1)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT JABALPUR
W.P. No. 338 of 2000.

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA.

PETITIONERS

:1. Jayshankar Upadhayay aged 34 years, S/o Shri V.N. Upadhayay R/o Village Sarna (Nouli) Post- Raghunath Nagar, Distt. Sarguja M.P.

:2. Pahluram Kushwaha aged 24 years, S/o Dasharam, R/o Village Kutrahi Post- Wardraf Nagar, Distt Sarguja M.P.

:3. Nandlal Kushwaha aged 25 years, S/o Shri Gulabram R/o Village Pandari, Post-Wardraf Nagar, Distt. Sarguja M.P.

:4. Duryodhan Prasad Patel aged 34 years, S/o Shri Badri Prasad Village Parasdiha Post-Bartikal Distt Sarguja M.P.

:5. Naveen Prasad Kesri aged 24 years S/o Shri Ramnath, R/o Village Wardarf Nagar, Distt. Sarguja M.P.

6. Radhyeshyam Kesri aged 28 years S/o Thakur Prasad R/o Village & Post- Balangi Distt. Sarguja M.P.

7. Sukhdeo Kushwaha aged 29 years, S/o Shri Jayshriram R/o Village Kutrahi Post- Wadraf Nagar Distt. Sarguja M.P.

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

:1. The State of M.P. through the Secretary of Panchayat & Rural Development Department Ministry Bhopal, Vallabh Bhawan Bhopal M.P.

:2. The District Collector, the Collector's Office Ambikapur, Distt. Sarguja M.P.

:3. The Chief Executive Officer Janpad Panchayat Wadraf Nagar, Distt. Sarguja M.P.

:4. The Sthayee Shiksha Samiti, through its President, Wadaraf Nagar Janpad Panchayat Office, Wadraf Nagar Distt. Sarguja M.P.

Certified Copy of M. C. Ca... Appeal / Revision
CLERK
O/o. Advocate Genl
M. P. HC...
29/3/2000

Surendra Kumar Khare
S. K. Khare
26/3
Oath Commissioner

(5) (12)

5. The learned Lokayukta, Lokayukta Sangathan, Zila Satarkta Samiti Karyalaya, A-/30 Priyadarshni Nagar Bilaspur M.P.

(87)

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक ....W.P.3386.... **सन् 200 0**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	12-5-2005 On a mention being made, the matter is taken up for hearing. Mr. Sushil Dubey, counsel for the petitioners. Mr. Akhil Agrawal, Panel Lawyer for the State/respondents No.1 and 2. Heard both the counsel. The petitioners have preferred this writ petition with the reliefs that the selection list dated 25-7-1998 (Annexure P-1) may be cancelled and a fresh selection may be ordered, and the interviews and selection dated 23-9-1998 of 22 extra candidates and 12 relative candidates may be cancelled. The petitioners' case is that they appeared before the Interview Board conducted by respondent No.4 for the post of Shiksha Karmi Class-III, however, certain irregularities were committed by respondent No.4 in the selection, therefore, that selection has been challenged on the ground of irregularities. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners may be allowed to make a representation to respondent No.2, to which learned counsel for the State/respondents No.1 & 2 has no objection. He further submits that the petitioners had already made representation (Annexure P-6 & P-9) twice to respondent No.2, but so far those representations have not been decided.	

(88)

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक W.P. 3386/ **सन् 2000**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश -2-	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	Having heard learned counsel for the parties and having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, the petitioners are allowed to make a fresh representation to respondent No.2 within 10 days from today raising all their grievances along with a copy of this petition and Annexures P-6 & P-9, in turn, respondent No.2 is directed to decide the representation within one month from the date of receipt of a copy of the same after considering the points raised by the petitioners, in accordance with law. With the direction aforesaid the petition stands disposed of. Consequently, I.A.No.364/2001 also stands disposed of. Parties are entitled for certified copy of this order. **Sd/-** **L.C. Bhadoo** **Judge**	

Soma